The U.S. Immigration Detention System is Cruel & Mismanaged

10 REASONS WHY CONGRESS MUST DEFUND ICE

The Trump administration has asked Congress for $2.7 billion dollars to lock up approximately 430,000 immigrants in fiscal year 2019.1 This bid comes at a time when the number of immigrants jailed by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) is already nearly double what it was a decade ago.2

ICE runs a massive detention system comprised largely of county jails and private prisons. The administration uses this system to jail mothers and fathers torn from their children, asylum seekers, and community members taken from their loved ones during racially biased sweeps. Those behind bars suffer unsafe conditions, sexual abuse, and—far too often—death. The agency flouts congressional efforts at oversight.

Enough is enough. Congress must not be complicit in a detention system that disregards the value of life and scoffs at basic accountability standards. This document explains 10 reasons why Congress must immediately cut ICE’s detention budget.

1. People are dying in immigration jails because of government negligence.

Fifteen people have died in immigration custody since President Trump was inaugurated.3 In May, 33-year-old Roxana Hernandez died after fleeing violence she faced as a transgender woman in Honduras.4 Her death marked a year since Jean Jimenez-Joseph committed suicide after suffering in solitary confinement for 19 days in the Stewart Detention Center in Georgia.5 Eleven other people died in custody in 2017. Many of the deaths that occur in immigration custody are attributable to medical negligence.6

2. Immigration detention is central to the administration’s policy of separating families.

The New York Times reports that the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) separated more than 700 children, including 100 under the age of four, from their parents at the border between October 2017 and April 2018.7 The administration’s “zero tolerance” policy of prosecuting all individuals crossing the southern border has caused these numbers to skyrocket.8 When parents are torn from their children, ICE holds them for prolonged periods in detention while their children suffer alone in the custody of the Department of Health and Human Services.9 Funding the ICE detention system enables the family separation policy, referred to by many as state-sponsored terror.10

“Being detained was difficult in every way. You are a prisoner. The food is not good, and there is not enough. You are punished if you share your commissary with someone who is hungry. They turn off the phones, so you can’t speak to your attorney or family. I learned that my mother had a heart attack and was in the hospital for a week. I had no way of communicating with her.”

-Daniel, NIJC client, on his five months in ICE custody as he sought asylum11
3. Conditions in immigration detention are punishing and unsafe.

DHS’s own Inspector General recently reported that conditions in many ICE jails are concerning and “undermine the protection of detainees’ rights, their humane treatment and the provision of a safe and healthy environment.” Individuals in detention report receiving food with worms and insects and discolored water. Credible reports of officers’ abuse of force and excessive use of segregation and lockdown are common.

4. Sexual abuse and assault is rampant in immigration detention, yet ICE fails to take action.

More than 1,000 allegations of sexual abuse in ICE custody were filed between 2010 and 2017. Laura Monterrosa, one brave woman who came forward with allegations of sexual assault while in ICE custody, experienced an “onslaught of retaliation tactics” including dozens of hours in solitary confinement. In March 2018, Congress gave ICE until May 22 to document its plan to achieve full compliance with the requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). According to ICE’s own website, approximately 10% of those detained—nearly 4,000 people—are jailed in facilities that lack PREA protections.

5. The system operates under a sham inspections regime.

ICE’s more than 200 jails and private prisons are governed by three different sets of standards. Only approximately 60 percent of immigrants are jailed in detention centers that are inspected under the most recent set of standards. The inspections system to gauge compliance with these standards is woefully inadequate to remedy egregious failures. ICE’s own data suggests that facilities have the opportunity to adjust their final inspections ratings adjusted after preliminary findings mark them deficient. Indeed, all “authorized” ICE facilities have passed every inspection since 2012, even where deaths have occurred due to medical neglect.

6. ICE needlessly jails vulnerable populations for prolonged periods, including asylum seekers, pregnant women, and LGBTQ individuals.

ICE has abandoned any meaningful exercise of discretion in deciding who to detain and for how long. The result is the jailing of vulnerable populations on a massive scale. ICE now jails asylum seekers for the duration of their proceedings, regardless of individualized risk factors. ICE recently modified its own policies so as to dramatically increase the detention of pregnant women. The agency is also detaining more elderly individuals with chronic medical conditions. Vulnerable populations are at a much higher risk of suffering harm in immigration detention. LGBTQ individuals, for example, are 97 times more likely to be sexually victimized in ICE custody.

7. The detention system operates at odds with due process rights.

Immigration detention facilities are in remote locations, often hours from the nearest legal service provider. The vast majority of detained indigent immigrants are unable to find lawyers to represent them in immigration court. In one large immigration jail in rural New Mexico, the National Immigrant Justice Center (NIJC) found that even with all local immigration attorneys at their maximum capacity, there would only be enough lawyers to represent approximately six percent of the jail’s population. Unrepresented, immigrants are far less likely to be able to effectively pursue or obtain protection in the United States.
8. Private prison companies profit from immigration detention.

More than two thirds of those in ICE custody on an average day are held in a privately operated jail. The ways in which private prison companies are incentivized to cut corners has been well documented. And yet, the private prison industry is this administration’s primary partner in seeking expansion, with CEOs and shareholders reaping vast rewards. The deprivation of liberty should never be driven by profit motives.

9. ICE engages in chronic fiscal mismanagement of the detention system and flouts congressional oversight.

A recent Government Accountability Office (GAO) report described ICE’s recent pattern of reprogramming and transferring “millions of dollars within, into, and out of the Custody Operations account to adjust for differences in funds provided versus actual costs of immigration detention.” The GAO uncovered basic math errors in ICE’s detention costs calculations and revealed that the agency determines its projected average detention population using unreliable modeling by “starting with the prior year’s funding level” and figuring out how many people it can jail with that amount. ICE regularly violates requirements imposed by congressional appropriators, including basic transparency requirements.

10. ICE prioritizes the use of detention over cheaper and more compassionate alternatives.

ICE receives funding to maintain alternative to detention programs that allow individuals to reside in the community while their removal proceedings are pending. These programs are vastly less expensive than detention and have demonstrated to achieve appearance rates of between 91 and 99 percent. Yet this administration has rejected such alternatives, terminating a pilot program known as the Family Case Management Program that boasted compliance rates of 99 percent and offered asylum seekers the chance to rebuild their lives while their cases proceeded.

ICE uses detention as a weapon to separate families and devastate communities.

NIJC calls on members of Congress to respond and:

1. Cut funds for DHS detention and enforcement,

2. Engage in strong oversight of the immigration detention system through frequent information requests,

3. Demand robust detention standards and monitoring that protect lives and due process.

Contact: Heidi Altman, NIJC Policy Director, 312-718-5021, haltman@heartlandalliance.org
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